



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Troiano, Frank Anthony.....	Lexington.
Tyree, Lewis.....	Salem.
Walton, H. J., Jr.....	Woodstock.
Wampler, G. K.....	Wytheville.
Wells, W. A.....	Pulaski.
Werth, William G.....	Norton.
Willcox, Edward Roane.....	Norfolk.
Williams, Walter Armistead, Jr.....	Richmond.
Wool, Darius Todd.....	Sewell's Point, Va.

IN VACATION.

It All Depends.—Faddest—Don't you think skipping the rope is a highly dangerous practice?

Lawyer—Not always. I'm trying to have it put in practice for a client of mine now.—Baltimore American.

No Room for Dispute.—Plaintiff's Counsel: "My lord, unfortunately, in this case I am opposed by the most unmitigated scoundrel"—

Defendant's Counsel: "My learned friend is such a notorious perverter"—

Judge: "Will counsel kindly confine their remarks to such matters as are in dispute?"

Some of Judge Cullen's Definitions.—Judge Cullen of West Virginia has made some definitions which are worthy of preservation, and so we give them permanent place in the REGISTER, hoping that he will continue in the same way to put his mark upon some of the "fads" and follies of the day.

1. Direct primary law: A violation of the individual rights of the voter and a clear impertinence on the part of public officers.

2. Late West Virginia decisions: Acts under which the life and liberty of every man within the state would seem to be at the mercy of the Governor.

3. The "persecution" which some of the physicians seek to inflict on the Christian Scientists: Discreditable.

4. Misdemeanors: So common there is speculation as to how many the average decent citizen will commit in a day.

5. Liberty (as many think it): The right of part of the people to compel the other part to uplift themselves.

6. The inalienable right of the press: To excite the public on the subject of any wrong, so that that wrong may be redressed.

7. Eugenic legislation: Silly.

Bird in Hand.—The ceremony was finished. The bridegroom, a western Kentuckian, started to reach for his wallet. Then he stopped.

"Squire," he said, "I got a proposition to make to ye. I'll give you \$2 now or I'll wait six months and give you what I think my wife's worth then, even if it's \$200."

The magistrate looked at the bride for a moment. "I believe I'll take the \$2 now," he said.

An Admission.—Lawyer (to timid young woman): "Have you ever appeared as witness in a suit before?"

Young Woman (blushing): "Y-yes, sir; of course."

Lawyer: "Please state to the jury just what suit it was."

Young Woman (with more confidence): "It was a nun's veiling, shirred down the front and trimmed with a lovely blue, and hat to match—"

Judge (rapping violently): "Order in the court!"—New York Sun.

BOOK REVIEWS.

All book reviews are by the Editor-in-Chief unless otherwise expressly stated.

Limitation on the Treaty-Making Power under the Constitution of the United States, by Henry St. George Tucker, formerly Dean of the Law Schools of Washington and Lee University and George Washington University, Washington, D. C. Editor of Tucker on the Constitution. Boston. Little, Brown & Company, 1915. Price \$5.00.

Professor Long's admirable article on this admirable book, published in the June number of the REGISTER, p. 97 renders an extended notice almost a work of supererogation. But we can not forbear adding our tribute to many notices of Mr. Tucker's work.

It comes at an opportune time, when the world-wide war has brought to the fore great international questions which sooner or later must lead to new treaties between this Government and foreign nations. The work is complete and well rounded, no matter from what aspect it is viewed—historical, legal or argumentative. Descended from ancestors who have been sturdy defenders of the rights of the States in the forum, on the hustings and from the rostrum of teachers, and himself a worthy descendant of these men, as might have been expected the views presented are strongly in favor of the Jeffersonian doctrine as to the proper construction of our Constitution. Not only is it a valuable contribution to the study of one branch of our governmental powers, but it is charmingly written in a clear, lucid and pleasant style, which makes it a pleasure to read. The North American Review says that the author makes of exposition as fine an art as Archbishop Whately and pronounces it "the most consistently thought out and historically thorough treatise that has been written or that can well be written" in support of the view that the treaty-making power of the Federal Government is limited by the reserved rights of the States. The Yale Law Review is also